IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

OLLIE GREENE, Individually as the § surviving parent of WYNDELL GREENE, § SR., WILLIAM GREENE, as the § § Administrator of the Estate of WYNDELL § GREENE, SR., and MARILYN BURDETTE-HARDEMAN, Individually and as the § CAUSE NUMBER 3:11-cv-0207-N 88888 surviving parent of LAKEYSHA GREENE, Plaintiffs, JURY TRIAL DEMANDED v. § TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION. § TOYOTA MOTOR ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING NORTH AMERICA. § INC., TOYOTA MOTOR SALES USA, INC. § VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICA, INC. VOLVO TRUCKS NORTH AMERICA, a DIVISION OF VOLVO GROUP NORTH AMERICAN, LLC, STRICK TRAILERS, LLC, § JOHN FAYARD MOVING & WAREHOUSE, § LLC and DOLPHIN LINE, INC. § § § Defendants.

<u>DEFENDANT STRICK TRAILERS, LLC'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN OPPOSITION</u> TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 15

On June 6, 2014, Toyota filed its responses and objections to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine which included a response and objections to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 15 (Doc. 728, Page ID 32936-32938). Strick filed a Motion to Join in Toyota's Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine which incorporated Toyota's response and objections to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 15. (Doc. 772).

Here, Strick simply points out to the Court that Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 15 raises

identical issues to those raised in Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude the Report and Testimony of David Viano, as it relates to NASS-CDS data base studies. (Doc. 472). In its order of June 13, 2014, this Court denied Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude the Report and Testimony of David Viano in its entirety. (Doc. 785). Accordingly, this Court has already ruled on Plaintiffs' motion to the extent it addresses Dr. Viano's NASS-CDS studies.

For the Court's reference, Strick's Brief in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude the Report and Testimony of Dr. David Viano specifically addressed Plaintiffs' challenges to Dr. Viano's use of the NASS-CDS database. (Doc. 520, Page ID 25641-25643). Strick incorporates those arguments here as if set forth in full and requests the Court deny this motion as well.

Strick is confident a proper foundation can be laid for any evidence concerning data from field accident studies.

CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, Strick respectfully asks this Court to enter an order denying Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 15.

Respectfully submitted,

DAWSON & CLARK, P.C.

/s/ Donald H. Dawson, Jr.
DONALD H. DAWSON, JR.
Texas State Bar No. 05606500
KATHLEEN A. CLARK
Texas State Bar No. 00788830
243 West Congress, Suite 600
Detroit, MI 48226
(313) 256-8900 (Office)
(313) 256-8913 (Facsimile)

WALTERS, BALIDO & CRAIN, LLP

S. TODD PARKS
Texas State Bar No. 15526520
10440 North Central Tower - 15th Floor
Dallas, TX 75231
(214) 749-4805 (Office)
(214) 760-1670 (Facsimile)

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT STRICK

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 20, 2014, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was forwarded to all counsel of record in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

/s/ Donald H. Dawson, Jr.
DONALD H. DAWSON, JR.